ADDENDUM 3

To: All Interested Proposers  
From: Linda Gonzalez, Bid Clerk/Buyer  
Date: April 24, 2007  
Subject: RFQ #07-038, Engineering Services for Arroyo Analysis and Recommendations

The Purchasing Department received questions relating to the above referenced proposal; the response to the following questions:

1. Page 4, Item 1, first paragraph – Approximately how many watersheds are expected to be in the Study phase (the first phase)?

   The Road & Bridge Dept. has identified six sites where a study of those watersheds should be studied to determine the remedies necessary to control flooding.

2. Will conventional topographic surveying be required for the project or does the County have adequate aerial topographic information that they intend to use, i.e. flown tow-foot elevation contours, for example?

   The County does not have aerial topographic. Any areas selected by Commissioners’ Court, the study should be made using the best methodology to determine the correct flows.

3. Is the County pursuing any outside funding such as State or Federal grants for this study?

   The County is proposing to use County fund to conduct this project.

4. Page 5, Item II, Statement of Qualifications – please clarify whether or not the stated
Statement of Qualifications limit includes all attachments of if we can attach additional information to the 10 page Statement of Qualifications.

The statement submitted should not have more pages than requested. If other information is wished to be submitted, it should be kept to a minimum.

5. Page 6, Item V, Project Schedule – The schedule does not appear to include the Study Phase, nor does it appear to include time for surveying, preliminary engineering, final design, permitting, and bidding for more that one or two flood control facilities. The schedule also does not appear to take into account work for pursuing state or federal funding. Please clarify if the stated schedule is intended to include all of these scope items.

The Commissioners’ Court has stated that the study should be conducted in an expedited time frame. A reasonable time frame can be agreed on at time of contract negotiation.

6. The RFQ indicates in Item 1, Scope of Work that the consultant is to conduct drainage studies in “selected areas” outside incorporated cities within the County of El Paso.

   a) Is there a map or some other means that identifies where the selected areas are located?
   
   Commissioner’s Court will determine how many of identified areas will be selected to be studied. They will also determine if a contract will be awarded to more than one firm.

   b) Is there a list of the cities near these selected areas?
   
   The areas to be studied will be area outside incorporated areas. Some studied might include a fringe part of an incorporated area.

7. What is the total budget for the study, design and construction of this project?

   The total budge for the study or any proposed improvement has not been determined by Commissioner’s Court.

8. Under Item V, Project Schedule, it states that the Purchasing Agent will negotiate a fee for the “projects”. I assume the engineering services to provide the study and design will be negotiated as a single project.

   Commissioners Court has not determined if it will award contracts to more than one firm. The contract should includes services to provide the study with a separate cost to provide construction design and documents if Commissions’ court decides to carry out study
recommendations to reduce flooding on that water shed.

a) Is the consultant to design multiple projects under this contract to be bid as separate projects?

Commissioners’ Court will make this determination.

b) If so, how many projects is the county anticipating?

The Road & Bridge dept. has identified six areas where flooding occurred outside incorporated areas where improvement should be studied to reduce flooding.