ADDENDUM 4

To: All Interested Bidders

From: Elvia Contreras, Formal Bid Buyer

Date: February 21, 2012

Subject: Bid# 12-001, Surveillance Cameras and Access Controls System for the El Paso County Coliseum

The Purchasing Department received request/questions relating to the above referenced Bid; the following is the response to the request/questions:

1. Bid calls for three monitors, but, gives only two for reference. Are we to supply two of either of these, or, is there a third type or size monitor? 
Answer: Monitor must be 32” LCD Monitor or better

2. Which, if any, solar panels are working? Do we continue to use them if they work? Do they currently supply needed power for both cameras and wireless devices? 
Answer: No, Bid request for all new.

3. What are the actual camera locations, both for inside cameras and those on the exterior?  
Answer: Refer to Attachment I

4. Do all, or which, exterior poles have power and is it 120v AC or other?  
Answer: Selected pole has power available.

5. How are exterior cameras located on poles connected to the Coliseum building proper? Cata5e, or?  
Answer: Wireless
6. Is conduit lines available for the east guard shack for an additional hardwire run? Are cables already run to this site? Is an external power source located at this site?
   
   Answer: No conduit available

7. Is any outside network going to be used to view/access new system? Is internet access available to the Coliseum and if so, how big an internet pipe is it?
   
   Answer: No outside Network will view cameras at the time, but system must have the capability to support internet viewing for further use. Internet pipe is 6mbps.

8. We hereby request the list of plan holders list
   
   Refer to Attachment II

The following questions were asked in the Pre-bidders Conference

1. Are you going to have any generators in this bid?
   
   Answer: No

2. Is there an aerial mapping of the cameras positions?
   
   Refer to Attachment 1

3. There is a camera that is on the northwest corner of the Coliseum that is on a pole and it’s described in the bid and it states to be replaced by hardwire camera; in order to do that from point A to B in the Northwest corner of the building you have to trench hole to that position. Are you open to new technology and alternative ideas?
   
   Answer: Yes, as long as it meets or exceeds bid specifications.

4. Regarding the camera’s that are in the parking lot for example PTZ’s or fixed cameras that are prepositioned on your request again looking out at those locations would be trenching the Eastside parking lot would you still be open for suggestions and design?
   
   Answer: Yes, as long as it meets or exceeds bid specifications. Trenching is an option up to 14 inches deep, as per Road and Bridge Dept.

5. If for some reason trenching is going to be required are they any civil maps for underground utilities or is that something we would be concern with?
   
   ANSWER: Once bid is awarded, County Road and Bridge will provide guidance on this issue.
6. Could we possibly have blue prints?
   ANSWER: Once bid is awarded, County Road and Bridge will provide guidance on this issue.

7. Is there any way we can get any mapping in case we have to do any trenching?
   ANSWER: Once bid is awarded, County Road and Bridge will provide guidance on this issue.

8. Are you against any type of wireless?
   ANSWER: Specifications call for hardwire.

9. In the specifications you indicate a turnkey system would the vendor provide all electrical and the power pole as well please clarify?
   ANSWER: Vendor must provide all needs for the project completion.

10. Does the County have any specific requirement in trenching the distance from surface to the trench areas 12” or 18 inches?
    ANSWER: As per Road and Bridge Dept, a maximum of 14 inches.

11. Bringing hardware to the Coliseum since it low voltage during the walk through the conduits required inside the building or can it be rewired?
    ANSWER: Specification calls for all new hardware.

12. When can we schedule a walk through?
    ANSWER: Purchasing will schedule a final walkthrough.

13. The title of this Bid states it is Surveillance Cameras and Access Controls System for the El Paso County Coliseum however I cannot locate any specifications indicating Access Control System?
    Answer: The County of El Paso is currently bidding out only Surveillance Cameras any Access Control for the Coliseum will be purchased at a later date.

14. Regarding the classifications on the server -is there a software solution that it is designed around?
    ANSWER: No.

15. Does the software have to integrate with the County’s software?
    ANSWER: No.

16. Will you be providing a map of all the switches IDM’s of the facility?
    ANSWER: All new hardware will have to be installed in the chosen location/room.
17. In the specifications there is a photograph of room 138 HVAC computer does this room have proper cooling? Is that room secured?
   **ANSWER:** Room has the proper cooling system and it is a secure room.

18. The surveillance server will be mounted in the existing rack provided by the County or do the vendors provide a rack or wall mount?
   **ANSWER:** Vendor needs to provide wall mount rack and all necessary hardware.

19. Will you provide UPS or do you have enough back up power already on-site?
   **ANSWER:** Vendor needs to provide UPS.

20. Will there be a performance payment or will it be paid as a final payment?
   **Answer:** As a final payment within completion of the work.

21. Is there a completion date that the County is expecting 60 days or 90 days?
   **ANSWER:** 30 days completion limit.

22. Is there a start stop date?
   **ANSWER:** 7 Business days after bid is awarded.

23. How long is the time frame from beginning to end?
   **ANSWER:** This project, because of funding must be completed within 30 days after bid has been awarded.

24. What are the work hours that the vendor will be allowed to work on the premises?
   **ANSWER:** Monday thru Friday 8:00A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

25. Are we going to have to be escorted during the time of the project?
   **ANSWER:** No.

26. In Section E there is a requirement for Video Monitoring Station 32” touch screen? That is what you are looking for tap and go?
   **Answer:** Yes touch screen tap and go

27. In your specifications you ask for 4 PTZ camera’s however during the walk through I calculated approximately 10 PTZ camera’s (through the parking lot, exterior and all the building itself) can you clarify as to how many PTZ’s?
   **ANSWER:** Only three inside the arena area.

28. What is going to happen to the existing systems?
   **ANSWER:** Not part of current bid.
29. Is this project budget restricted?
   **ANSWER:** Yes.

30. Regarding training how many people are we going to be training?
   **ANSWER:** Approximately 10-15 people

31. After all the questions and everything I know you guys gave us what you want my question is should we bid this as best practices what we think will work the best or should we stick with the specifications?
   **Answer:** As per bid must meet or exceed specifications.

32. Looks as though based on the specs you’re almost exclusively to replace your existing system to some degree. Now is system based on performance spec or based on spec on equipment that is show in the specifications? Someone mentioned they’re many variations can go a you with regards to determining what you specifically want and able to see as well as now and the future expansion possibly so there could be a lot of variations of dollars which technically puts almost everybody in somewhat of an advantage or disadvantage depending on how you approach the scenario of put the system together. Could you provide any clarification on that?
   **ANSWER:** Bid as per specifications.

33. Can you disclose where you got the specifications from?
   **ANSWER:** Specifications were provided by Sheriff’s Department using products from Arecont Vision. The County will accept products that are equivalent to specifications.

34. In the specifications 360 camera or 180 degree the way it laid out in the mapping it may not be beneficial to the County on the outside if we are going to stick to the specifications. But if we have a different opinion about that would change the specification that is why we are asking can we have the option to change the specifications to benefit the County?
   **ANSWER:** No, as per specifications and attachment 1 (Mapping)

35. On the server you are asking for a 16 Terabytes box what is your storage requirement that you are looking for? 16 Terabytes is not a lot.
   **ANSWER:** Video archive must meet 30 day storage capacity.

36. How long/weeks do you want to look back at the video?
   **ANSWER:** 30 Days

37. How many frames per video?
   **ANSWER:** Resolution 128 x720; Frame rate 30 fps @ 720p.
38. Are you going to record 24 hrs a day?
   **ANSWER:** All cameras will be motion activated.

39. Where is the feed going to?
   **Answer:** Stays local.

40. Is the Sherriff’s Department going to provide the workstations?
   **ANSWER:** Specifications calls for vendor to provide workstations.

41. What type of server are you going to be providing at the dispatch?
   **ANSWER:** No server at dispatch, feed will remain local.

42. What resolution High or?
   **ANSWER:** High/HD Quality.

43. What minutes per second?
   **ANSWER:** Resolution 128 x720; Frame Rate 30 fps @ 720p.

44. It is obvious through the discussion that there are many points of views as to how you can arrange the cameras, locate them and so forth and so on. On the successful bidder would it not be prudent to provide a lay out for your approval prior to the physical installation? Because that could end up very complex if we were to designate a certain location and you didn’t approve it and the vendor would had to relocate the cameras. It could bring some complications and how far would it go if we go if we would place five cameras and after that the County would then say no we don’t want the cameras there. I think it would be prudent if the successful vendor would submit a lay out for review and approval before the setup of the cameras starts. Would this be a consideration/requirement?
   **ANSWER:** As per bid specifications. See attachment 1 (Mapping)

45. In 3.1 scope of work in one area it states the County’s is seeking a “turnkey” system. However in the previous sentence it state Any Items that the Vendor feels are out of scope or the County’s responsibility must be made clear in the Bid. (i.e. cabling, electrical work, trenching, appropriate lighting for Night systems, and any other items vendors would not provide in their bid). Is it turnkey or is it that the County will provide the trenching, electrical work, and the lighting?
   **ANSWER:** It will be all “turnkey” solution.

46. I need further clarification there is a photograph in the bid specifications of the Coliseum - “A close up of this is the East side, main entrance to the Coliseum. This would an ideal location for installation of a 180 degree camera.” Need clarification on the 180 degree angle/direction do you want it inward or outward etc...
   **ANSWER:** Refer to attachment #1 (Mapping)
47. There will be one last and final walk thru on Thursday, February 23, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. If you are interested in attending please contact Elvia Contreras from the Purchasing Department at 915-546-4524.

48. Please see Conflict of Interest Questionnaire attached. Evaluation Committee has been updated.
RE: Bid #12-001, Surveillance Cameras and Access Controls System for the El Paso County Coliseum

Dear Vendor:

As of January 1, 2006, the Texas Local Government Code Chapter 176 requires all vendors and potential vendors who contract or seek to contract for the sale or purchase of property, goods, or services with any local government entity to complete and submit a Conflicts of Interest Questionnaire. A copy of the requirements regarding vendors is attached. Also attached is a copy of the Questionnaire which needs to be filed and was prepared and approved for statewide use by the Texas Ethics Commission.

In filing out the Questionnaire, the following are the County Officers that will award the bid and the employees which will make a recommendation to the Commissioners Court:

County Officers:  
- County Judge Veronica Escobar
- Commissioner Anna Perez
- Commissioner Sergio Lewis
- Commissioner Willie Gandara Jr.
- Commissioner Dan Haggerty

County Employees:  
- Piti Vasquez, Purchasing Agent
- Jose Lopez, Jr., Assistant Purchasing Agent
- Pete Gutierrez, Buyer II
- Elvia Contreras, Formal Bid Buyer
- Lucy Balderama, Inventory Bid Technician
- Richard D. Wiles, Sheriff
- Omar Chavez, Sergeant
- Abel Morales, Deputy
- Ernesto Cobos, Network Administrator
- David Garcia, Director of ITD
- Rene Camarillo, Business Applications
- James Smith, Operation Manager County Coliseum
- Brian Kennedy, County Coliseum Sports Commission Director

Please note that the state law requires that the Questionnaire be filed with the COUNTY CLERK no later than the 7th business day after submitting an application, response to an RFP, RFQ or bid or any other writing related to a potential agreement with the County. Failure to file the questionnaire within the time provided by the statute is a Class C misdemeanor.
CONFLICT OF INTEREST QUESTIONNAIRE
For vendor or other person doing business with local governmental entity

This questionnaire reflects changes made to the law by H.B. 1491, 80th Leg., Regular Session.

This questionnaire is being filed in accordance with Chapter 176, Local Government Code by a person who has a business relationship as defined by Section 176.001(1-a) with a local governmental entity and the person meets requirements under Section 176.006(a).

By law this questionnaire must be filed with the records administrator of the local governmental entity not later than the 7th business day after the date the person becomes aware of facts that require the statement to be filed. See Section 176.006, Local Government Code.

A person commits an offense if the person knowingly violates Section 176.006, Local Government Code. An offense under this section is a Class C misdemeanor.

OFFICE USE ONLY

Date Received

Bid # 12-001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Name of person who has a business relationship with local governmental entity.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Check this box if you are filing an update to a previously filed questionnaire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(The law requires that you file an updated completed questionnaire with the appropriate filing authority not later than the 7th business day after the date the originally filed questionnaire becomes incomplete or inaccurate.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Name of local government officer with whom filer has employment or business relationship.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name of Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This section (item 3 including subparts A, B, C &amp; D) must be completed for each officer with whom the filer has an employment or other business relationship as defined by Section 176.001(1-a), Local Government Code. Attach additional pages to this Form CIQ as necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. Is the local government officer named in this section receiving or likely to receive taxable income, other than investment income, from the filer of the questionnaire?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Is the filer of the questionnaire receiving or likely to receive taxable income, other than investment income, from or at the direction of the local government officer named in this section AND the taxable income is not received from the local governmental entity?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Is the filer of this questionnaire employed by a corporation or other business entity with respect to which the local government officer serves as an officer or director, or holds an ownership of 10 percent or more?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. Describe each employment or business relationship with the local government officer named in this section.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature of person doing business with the governmental entity

Date